# SIB4 COS & CO2 fluxes, and a preliminary comparison to ORCHIDEE

**Ara Cho**, Maarten Krol, Erik van Schaik, Linda Kooijmans Wageningen University (<u>ara.cho@wur.nl</u>)

The COS-OCS project was funded through the ERC-advanced funding scheme (AdG 2016 Project Number: 742798, Project Acronym: COS-OCS).

1

# I. Introduction



- Photosynthesis by land plants accounts for the largest uptake of CO2, but this flux cannot be measured directly.
- Carbonyl sulphide (COS) is suggested as powerful tracer of Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) (Campbell *et al.*)
- Quantitative aspects of the coupling of COS & CO2 exchange by plants are still uncertain.
- The aim of this presentation is to determine the characteristic of COS & CO2 in the ecosystem according to the various temporal and spatial scales.
  - Here, we present implementation result of Simple Biosphere Model4 (SiB4)
    to find a relationship between COS and CO2 exchange in biosphere.
    To evaluate the COS calculation in SiB4, comparison with another
    biosphere model (ORCHIDEE) was performed.

# I.I. CO2 & COS Budget



- CO2 exchange reflects several opposing fluxes of uptake and release
   => Difficult to quantify photosynthetic uptake by plants
- COS is predominantly produced in the ocean surface and consumed by land plants accompanying photosynthesis
- The ratio of COS to CO2 uptake by land plants during photosynthesis is predictable
- CO2 & COS are also produced in large quantities by human activities, and be exchanged between the atmosphere and the soil



### **II. Data and Methods**



- Models : SiB4 and ORCHIDEE
- Targets
  - Materials: COS and CO2
  - Period: 5years (2011 ~ 2015) for monthly, a year (2011) for hourly
  - Area : Global and sub-regions
    - Big difference & interesting areas (urban or tropical forest)

Sub-regions



Figure. Difference of simulated COS flux between SiB4 and ORCHIDEE (SiB4-ORCHIDEE) and 6 sub-regions

#### **II.I. COS flux calculation in SIB4**





Resp.(L1~3)/Resp.(1+2+3) x Resp.tot(all\_layers) x COS soil parameter x Frost x Moisture x Soil temperature

#### III. Results III.I COS and CO2 in SiB4

#### **III.I.I. Inter-annual variation**



- GPP & COS uptake have slightly weakened for 5 years in global (same pattern: 0~30S)
- Different patterns in 0~60N from 2014 to 2015 year.



# **III.I.II. Monthly variation**



- Globally, strong peak in summer
- Although differences in scale, COS &CO2 have **similar patterns**
- (30S~30N) Still has uptake values in winter-> dense tropical forest



#### Monthly variation: GPP v.s. COS

## **III.I.II. Diurnal variation (January)**



- [R1,2,3: no plant] COS diffuses into soil
- [R4,6] Peak of COS flux in early morning, uptake in nighttime
   -> incomplete closure of stomata & the light independence of the enzyme carbonic anhydrase

17181920212223

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 101

Hour



0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223

Hou



0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223

Hou

# **III.I.II.** Diurnal variation (July)



- Similar pattern between COS & GPP • (Plants active), COS uptake in **nighttime**
- As region4, some challenges exist to • use COS flux as a tracer of GPP

-20

-25

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223

Hour



2) Lat,Lon (41,17) (3) Lat,Lon (27 115) Lat,Lon (35,-99) gpp gpp qpp COS COS COS COS flux [pmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] COS flux [pmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] -5 GPP [umol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] GPP [umol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] GPP [umol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] -20 -10 -10 -30 -30 -40 -40 -15 -15 -15 -50 -50 -20 -20 -20 -60 -60 -70 -70 -25 -25 -25 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223 Hour Hour Hour Lat,Lon (-9,-77) Lat,Lon (5,17) Lat,Lon (-9,119) 6 gpp qpp gpp COS COS COS COS flux [pmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] flux [pmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] -5 -5 -5 GPP [umol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] GPP [umol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] GPP [umol/m<sup>2</sup>/s] -20 -20 -10 -10 -10 -30 -30 -40 -40 -15 -15 -15 -50 -50

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223

Hour

-20

-25

-60

-70

-60 SO

-70

-20

-25

Diurnal variation: gpp .vs. COS in SiB4 (1, Jul, 2011)

COS flux [pmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s]

-20

-30

-40

-50

-60

-10

-20

-30

-50

-60

-70

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1011121314151617181920212223

Hour

COS flux [pmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s]

#### Results II. COS comparison (ORCHIDEE v.s. SiB4)

## **III.II.I. COS Spatial Distribution**



- [Averaged COS flux for 5 years]
- Stronger uptake in areas, well-known as forest or croplands than barren area, especially that simulated from SiB4
- In summer and winter, difference distributes in northern and southern hemisphere, respectively
   -> discrepancy in COS uptake in **densely vegetated area**



#### **III.II.I. COS Spatial Distribution**





#### **III.II.I. COS Spatial Distribution**



#### Monthly averaged COS flux (July, October)



## **III.II. COS Latitudinal Variation**



- SiB4 simulates more COS uptake than ORCHIDEE for global
- Tropical region (30N ~ 30S), uptake is stronger and more variable in SiB4 than ORCHIDEE



## **III.III. COS Inter-annual Variation**

- WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH
- Globally, COS flux was weakened for 5 years slightly
- Smaller COS uptake in ORCHIDEE due to absence of soil flux
- [0~30S] Remarkable agreed declined uptake, but large bias
- Some **different patterns** for 5 years in other latitude regions

Interaual variation: ORCHIDEE v.s. SiB4 (diff. scale)



# III.II.IV. COS Sub-regional S.V.



- Similar pattern in all regions
- [R1,2,4] **stronger COS uptake in SiB4** than ORCHIDEE, especially in **summer**
- Time lag for a month between two models
- [R4,6] Big differences of value for all seasons -> Soil uptake + another reason



Monthly variation: ORCHIDEE v.s. SiB4 (2011~2015)



### III.II.V. COS Sub-regional D.V. (January) WAGENINGEN

- Two models have different diurnal variation according to the regions
- [Night] uptake in SiB4 COS, due to hydrolized COS in soil
- [Day] large difference in both COSs
   -> soil uptake + another regional reason



Diurnal variation: ORCHIDEE v.s. SiB4 (1, Jan, 2011)



# III.II.V. COS Sub-regional D.V. (July)

- Strong uptake during daytime in both, small uptake in nighttime in SiB4
- Uptake-hour of SiB4 GPP is similar SiB COS
  - But, ORCHIDEE COS starts to uptake earlier in R1,4,5,6



Diurnal variation: ORCHIDEE v.s. SiB4 (1, Jul, 2011)



# **IV. Summary**



- We explored relationship between COS and CO2 exchange in biosphere using comparison of two land surface models SiB4 and ORCHIDEE in global and sub-regions for 5 years (2011~2015)
- COS flux from SiB4 is calculated with uptake from **plants** and **soil organisms** (enzyme carbonic anhydrase). ORCHIDEE does not include soil parameterisation.
- COS & CO2 uptake had weaken for 5 years and two have similar pattern in monthly variation. As for diurnal, big differences were shown at nighttime and some peaks in the morning in COS flux.

-> due to the **light independence** of the enzyme carbonic anhydrase

- COS flux from SiB4 has stronger uptake than that from ORCHIDEE, and has different magnitude in seasonal & diurnal variation, especially in 30S~0N region (tropical forest -> a lot of uncertainties )
- COS flux from SiB4 has uptake in nighttime, but that from ORCHIDEE has almost 0 value -> enzyme effect in soil in nighttime, and other reasons
- We can make the coupling system between COS & CO2 with acquired characteristics, and they will reflected in COS inversion system.

# Thank you for your attention